IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH
W.P. NO. 3743 /2004 **Single Bench**

PETITIONER : Shivcharan S/o. Chandra Pratap, Aged about 27 years, R/o. Village Nayabandh, Vikas Khand Bataul, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1] State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary, Department of Panchayat Gramin Vikas Vibhag, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2] The Collector, Ambikapur,
District Surguja (C.G.)

3] The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue)
Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

4] The Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat Batauli,
District Surguja (C.G.)

5] Rajeev Kumar S/o. Jagat Ram, Aged about 28 years, R/o. Village Birimkela, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

6] Rajkumar Sonwami, S/o. Loknath, Aged about 35 years, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Birimkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

7] Ramedhar S/o. Bhikalu, Aged about 30 years, Panch, Gram Panchayat Birimkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

8] Kadakram S/o. Gopi, Aged about 45 years, Gram Sahayak, Gram Panchayat Birimkela Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

9] Budhanram S/o. Unknown, Aged about 30 years, Member of Selection Committee of Samvida Shikshak Grade-III, R/o. Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3638/04
Presented by Shri M.V. Paranjape
dated 30-9-04

RECEIVED COPY
30/9/04
Clerk to A.G.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(47)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3743 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	03/02/2005 Shri Manoj Paranjape, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, learned Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1,2 and 3, on advance copy. Heard on I.A. No. 7762/2004 which is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. Hence I.A. No. 7762/2004 stands disposed of. Also heard on admission. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was selected as a contract teacher vide order dated 17/09/2003. This order was challenged before the Sub Divisional Officer by one Rajeev Kumar in Revenue Case No. 20/B-121/2003-2004. The Sub Divisional Officer vide order dated 17/04/2004 cancelled the appointment of the petitioner on the ground of not following the proper procedure by the concerned Gram Panchayat. A copy of the order has been filed as Annexure P-9. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that an appeal against the said order was filed before the Collector on 22/05/2004. However, the Collector has not taken any final decision in the appeal. Presently, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the Collector to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner as early as possible within a stipulated period so that the matter may come to an end.	

(48)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3743 **सन्** 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection to the said limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. In consequence, it is directed that the Collector shall decide the appeal of the petitioner as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of filing of certified copy of this order on its own merits in accordance with law. Needless to mention that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands finally disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 4163/2004 and I.A. No. 614/2005 stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	